

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/798,257                           | 03/12/2004  | Stephen McIsaac      | JJ-11 384-2US           | 5883                    |  |
| John Jeffrey c/o Dennison Associates |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
|                                      |             |                      | GIBSON, RANDY W         |                         |  |
| Suite 301<br>133 Richmond            | Street West |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| Toronto, ON M1M 2S3                  |             |                      | 2841                    |                         |  |
| CANADA                               |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/30/2009 | DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |   | H1 |
|---|---|---|----|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | ,  |
|   | 10/798,257  | MCISAAC ET AL.  |    |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |    |
|   | Randy W. Gibson   | 2841  |    |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address  |    |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO<br>36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron<br>t, cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |    |
| Status  |   |   |    |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |   |    |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  | action is non-final.  |   |    |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | nce except for formal matters, pr   | osecution as to the merits is   |    |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | 53 O.G. 213.  |    |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |    |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4 and 8-11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | wn from consideration.  |   |    |
| Application Papers  |   |   | •  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2005</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | ☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob  | ne 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        | ,  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |    |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicat<br>rity documents have been receiv<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No ed in this National Stage  |    |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summan<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D<br>5) Notice of Informal<br>6) Other:   |   |    |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because some of the figures are apparently black and white photographs of an actual machine. These photographs are of poor quality, since the details are hard to see, and should be replaced by drawings. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(b)(1).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

Application/Control Number: 10/798,257 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: a claim should be in the form of a single sentence; a period should appear only at the end. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations. See *Fressola v. Manbeck*, 36 USPQ2d 1211 (D.D.C. 1995); and, *MPEP* § 608.01(m). Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2841

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sneed (US # 3,722,660). Sneed shows a watt-hour meter for a conveyor belt motor that is calibrated in tones/hour which is equipped with a back torque winding to compensate for "no-load" power consumption. See column 2, lines 58-68; col. 3, lines 37-68; and, col. 5, lines 53. Sneed also discloses a belt speed sensor that feeds into the recorder ("counter") to correct for errors in belt speed. See column 4, lines 18-58; and, col. 5, lines 54-59.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kira (US # 6,317,656). Kira teaches monitoring motor current as a way of recording mass flow rate of a belt conveyor that also accounts for "no-load" and "start-up load" conditions. See column 2, lines 53-63; column 6, line 34 to col. 7, line 7; and, col. 13, line 60 to col. 16, line 11.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Both references to Sneed teach that the conveyor needs to be calibrated to account for differences in the level of inclination ("lift") of the belt during initial set-up. Matteau et al. and the two Campbell references show the use of inclinometers in weighing conveyors to adjust for belt angle in the weight readings.

Bentley and the other belt conveyor references show monitoring motor power usage as

Application/Control Number: 10/798,257

Art Unit: 2841

a method for measuring weight. Several of the other references show temperature sensors in various types of weighing scales.

Page 5

- 8. Claims 3, 4, and 8-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Kira teaches that temperature changes affects the weight reading of belt conveyors, but since he compensates for this using an auto-calibration routine, this reference teaches away from using a separate temperature sensor to provide real-time temperature information for adjustment on the fly.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,257

Art Unit: 2841

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2841